

Interlaboratory Studies

# Results of Proficiency Test Gasoline - EN (winter) October 2024

Organized by: Institute for Interlaboratory Studies

Spijkenisse, the Netherlands

Author: Mrs. R.T. van Vliet

Correctors: Mr. M. Meijer, BSc & Mrs. C.M. Nijssen-Wester BSc

Approved by: Mr. R.J. Starink, BSc

Report: iis24B06EN

December 2024

# **CONTENTS**

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	SET UP	3
2.1	ACCREDITATION	3
2.2	PROTOCOL	4
2.3	CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT	4
2.4	SAMPLES	4
2.5	STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES	6
2.6	ANALYZES	6
3	RESULTS	
3.1	STATISTICS	7
3.2	GRAPHICS	8
3.3	Z-SCORES	9
1	EVALUATION	. 9
1.1	EVALUATION PER SAMPLE AND PER TEST	10
1.2	PERFORMANCE EVALUATION FOR THE GROUP OF LABORATORIES	12
1.3	COMPARISON OF THE PROFICIENCY TEST OF OCTOBER 2024 WITH PREVIOUS PTS	14

# Appendices

1.	Data, statistical and graphical results	16
2.	Determination of Other Oxygenates	83
3.	z-scores distillation	85
4.	Number of participants per country	87
5.	Abbreviations and literature	88

#### 1 Introduction

Since 1995 the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) organizes a proficiency scheme for the analysis of Gasoline twice a year. One round based on the latest version of ASTM D4814 and one round based on the latest version of EN228. During the annual proficiency testing program of 2024 it was decided to continue the round robin for the analysis of Gasoline-EN (winter). In this PT an extra sample was added for RON 91 Gasoline.

In this interlaboratory study registered for participation:

- 140 laboratories in 51 countries for regular analyzes in Gasoline EN iis24B06EN,
- 115 laboratories in 42 countries for DVPE analyzes in Gasoline EN iis24B06DVPE,
- 67 laboratories in 40 countries for RON and MON analyzes in Gasoline EN iis24B06RON.
- 11 laboratories in 8 countries for the extra RON and MON analyzes in Gasoline iis24B0691.

In total 150 laboratories in 51 countries registered for participation in one or more proficiency tests, see appendix 4 for the number of participants per country. In this report the results of the Gasoline - EN (winter) proficiency tests are presented and discussed.

### 2 SET UP

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies (iis) in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, was the organizer of this proficiency test (PT). Sample analyzes for fit-for-use and homogeneity testing were subcontracted to a laboratory that has performed the tests in accordance with ISO/IEC17043 relevant requirements of ISO/IEC17025.

In this proficiency test the participants received, depending on the registration, from one up to four different samples of Gasoline, see table below.

Sample ID	PT ID	Quantity	Purpose
#24185	iis24B06EN	1x 1 L	Regular analyzes
#24186	iis24B06DVPE	1x 1 L (75% filled)	DVPE
#24187	iis24B06RON	2x 1 L	RON and MON
#24188	iis24B06R91	2x 1 L	RON 91

Table 1: Gasoline samples used in PT iis24B06

Participants were requested to report rounded and unrounded test results. The unrounded test results were preferably used for statistical evaluation.

## 2.1 ACCREDITATION

The Institute for Interlaboratory Studies in Spijkenisse, the Netherlands, is accredited in agreement with ISO/IEC17043:2010 (R007), since January 2000, by the Dutch Accreditation Council (Raad voor Accreditatie). This PT falls under the accredited scope. This ensures strict adherence to protocols for sample preparation and statistical evaluation and 100% confidentiality of participant's data. Feedback from the participants on the reported data is encouraged and customer's satisfaction is measured on regular basis by sending out questionnaires.

#### 2.2 PROTOCOL

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0). This protocol is electronically available through the iis website www.iisnl.com, from the FAQ page.

# 2.3 CONFIDENTIALITY STATEMENT

All data presented in this report must be regarded as confidential and for use by the participating companies only. Disclosure of the information in this report is only allowed by means of the entire report. Use of the contents of this report for third parties is only allowed by written permission of the Institute for Interlaboratory Studies. Disclosure of the identity of one or more of the participating companies will be done only after receipt of a written agreement of the companies involved.

#### 2.4 SAMPLES

For the preparation of the samples for the regular and RON/MON analyzes in Gasoline a batch of approximately 400 liters of a regular winter grade Gasoline was obtained from a local supplier. After homogenization 155 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled and labelled #24185 for the regular analyzes in Gasoline and 175 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled and labelled #24187 for the analyzes of RON and MON.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by the determination of Density at 15 °C in accordance with ISO12185 on 18 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m³		Density at 15 °C in kg/m³
sample 1	743.37	sample 10	743.66
sample 2	743.28	sample 11	743.38
sample 3	743.40	sample 12	743.22
sample 4	743.27	sample 13	743.40
sample 5	743.46	sample 14	743.32
sample 6	743.25	sample 15	743.43
sample 7	743.32	sample 16	743.33
sample 8	743.42	sample 17	743.41
sample 9	743.41	sample 18	743.33

Table 2: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24185 and #24187

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m³
r (observed)	0.28
reference test method	ISO12185:24
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.45

Table 3: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24185 and #24187

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for the DVPE analysis in Gasoline a batch of approximately 110 liters of a regular winter grade Gasoline was obtained from a local supplier. After homogenization 155 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled with approximately 750 mL Gasoline and labelled #24186.

The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by the determination of DVPE in accordance with EN13016 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	DVPE in kPa
sample #24186-1	88.5
sample #24186-2	88.6
sample #24186-3	88.4
sample #24186-4	88.7
sample #24186-5	88.6
sample #24186-6	88.6
sample #24186-7	88.5
sample #24186-8	88.6

Table 4: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24186

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	DVPE in kPa
r (observed)	0.6
reference test method	ASTM D5191:22
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.7

Table 5: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24186

The calculated repeatability is in agreement with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method. Therefore, homogeneity of the subsamples was assumed.

For the preparation of the sample for the RON91 analysis in Gasoline a batch of approximately 50 liters of a special grade Gasoline was obtained from a third party. After homogenization 45 amber glass bottles of 1 L were filled with Gasoline and labelled #24188. The homogeneity of the subsamples was checked by the determination of Density at 15 °C in accordance with ISO12185:24 on 8 stratified randomly selected subsamples.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m³
sample #24188-1	743.12
sample #24188-2	743.22
sample #24188-3	743.15
sample #24188-4	743.10
sample #24188-5	743.55
sample #24188-6	743.28
sample #24188-7	743.19
sample #24188-8	743.17

Table 6: homogeneity test results of subsamples #24188

From the above test results the repeatability was calculated and compared with 0.3 times the reproducibility of the reference test method in agreement with the procedure of ISO13528, Annex B2 in the next table.

	Density at 15 °C in kg/m³
r (observed)	0.40
reference test method	ISO12185:24
0.3 x R (reference test method)	0.45

Table 7: evaluation of the repeatability of subsamples #24188

Depending on the registration of the participant the appropriate set of PT samples was sent on September 11, 2024. An SDS was added to the sample package.

# 2.5 STABILITY OF THE SAMPLES

The stability of Gasoline packed in amber glass bottles was checked. The material was found sufficiently stable for the period of the proficiency test.

### 2.6 ANALYZES

The participants were requested to determine on sample #24185: API Gravity, Appearance, Aromatics by FIA and by GC (%V/V and %M/M), Benzene, Copper Corrosion 3 hrs at 50 °C, Density at 15 °C, Distillation at 760 mmHg (Initial Boiling Point, Temperature at 10%, 50% and 90% evaporated, Final Boiling Point, % evaporated at 70 °C, 100 °C and 150 °C, Distillation Residue and Distillation Loss), Doctor test, Gum (solvent washed), Lead as Pb, Manganese as Mn, Olefins by FIA and by GC (%V/V and %M/M), Oxidation Stability, Oxygenates: Methanol, Ethanol, iso-Propyl alcohol, iso-Butyl alcohol, tert-Butyl alcohol,

Ethers (C5 or more C atoms), DIPE, ETBE, MTBE, TAME, Sum of Other Oxygenates, Oxygen content and Sulfur.

On sample #24186 it was requested to determine: Air Saturated Vapor Pressure (ASVP) and Dry Vapor Pressure Equivalent (DVPE) according to EN13016-1.

On both samples #24187 and #24188 it was requested only to determine: RON and MON.

It was explicitly requested to treat the samples as if they were routine samples and to report the test results using the indicated units on the report form and not to round the test results but report as much significant figures as possible. It was also requested not to report 'less than' test results, which are above the detection limit, because such test results cannot be used for meaningful statistical evaluations.

To get comparable test results a detailed report form and a letter of instructions are prepared. On the report form the reporting units are given as well as the reference test methods (when applicable) that will be used during the evaluation. The detailed report form and the letter of instructions are both made available on the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The participating laboratories are also requested to confirm the sample receipt on this data entry portal. The letter of instructions can also be downloaded from the iis website www.iisnl.com.

#### 3 RESULTS

During five weeks after sample dispatch, the test results of the individual laboratories were gathered via the data entry portal www.kpmd.co.uk/sgs-iis/. The reported test results are tabulated per determination in appendices 1 and 2 of this report. The laboratories are presented by their code numbers.

Directly after the deadline, a reminder was sent to those laboratories that had not reported test results at that moment. Shortly after the deadline, the available test results were screened for suspect data. A test result was called suspect in case the Huber Elimination Rule (a robust outlier test) found it to be an outlier. The laboratories that produced these suspect data were asked to check the reported test results (no reanalyzes). Additional or corrected test results are used for data analysis and the original test results are placed under 'Remarks' in the result tables in appendices 1 and 2. Test results that came in after the deadline were not taken into account in this screening for suspect data and thus these participants were not requested for checks.

# 3.1 STATISTICS

The protocol followed in the organization of this proficiency test was the one as described for proficiency testing in the report 'iis Interlaboratory Studies: Protocol for the Organisation, Statistics and Evaluation' of October 2024 (iis-protocol, version 4.0).

For the statistical evaluation the *unrounded* (when available) figures were used instead of the rounded test results. Test results reported as '<...' or '>...' were not used in the statistical evaluation.

First, the normality of the distribution of the various data sets per determination was checked by means of the Lilliefors-test, a variant of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and by the calculation of skewness and kurtosis. Evaluation of the three normality indicators in combination with the visual evaluation of the graphic Kernel density plot, lead to judgement of the normality being either 'unknown', 'OK', 'suspect' or 'not OK'. After removal of outliers, this check was repeated. If a data set does not have a normal distribution, the (results of the) statistical evaluation should be used with due care.

The assigned value is determined by consensus based on the test results of the group of participants after rejection of the statistical outliers and/or suspect data.

According to ISO13528 all (original received or corrected) results per determination were submitted to outlier tests. In the iis procedure for proficiency tests, outliers are detected prior to calculation of the mean, standard deviation and reproducibility. For small data sets, Dixon (up to 20 test results) or Grubbs (up to 40 test results) outlier tests can be used. For larger data sets (above 20 test results) Rosner's outlier test can be used. Outliers are marked by D(0.01) for the Dixon's test, by G(0.01) or DG(0.01) for the Grubbs' test and by F(0.01) for the Rosner's test. Stragglers are marked by F(0.01) for the Dixon's test, by F(0.01) for the Rosner's test. Both outliers and stragglers were not included in the calculations of averages and standard deviations.

For each assigned value the uncertainty was determined in accordance with ISO13528. Subsequently the calculated uncertainty was evaluated against the respective requirement based on the target reproducibility in accordance with ISO13528. In this PT, the criterion of ISO13528, paragraph 9.2.1. was met for all evaluated tests, therefore, the uncertainty of all assigned values may be negligible and need not be included in the PT report.

Finally, the reproducibilities were calculated from the standard deviations by multiplying them with a factor of 2.8.

#### 3.2 GRAPHICS

In order to visualize the data against the reproducibilities from literature, Gauss plots were made, using the sorted data for one determination (see appendix 1). On the Y-axis the reported test results are plotted. The corresponding laboratory numbers are on the X-axis. The straight horizontal line presents the consensus value (a trimmed mean). The four striped lines, parallel to the consensus value line, are the +3s, +2s, -2s and -3s target reproducibility limits of the selected reference test method. Outliers and other data, which were excluded from the calculations, are represented as a cross. Accepted data are represented as a triangle.

Furthermore, Kernel Density Graphs were made. This is a method for producing a smooth density approximation to a set of data that avoids some problems associated with histograms. Also, a normal Gauss curve (dotted line) was projected over the Kernel Density Graph (smooth line) for reference. The Gauss curve is calculated from the consensus value and the corresponding standard deviation.

#### 3.3 Z-SCORES

To evaluate the performance of the participating laboratories the z-scores were calculated. As it was decided to evaluate the performance of the participants in this proficiency test (PT) against the literature requirements (derived from e.g. ISO or ASTM test methods), the z-scores were calculated using a target standard deviation. This results in an evaluation independent of the variation in this interlaboratory study.

The target standard deviation was calculated from the literature reproducibility by division with 2.8. In case no literature reproducibility was available, other target values were used, like Horwitz or an estimated reproducibility based on former iis proficiency tests.

When a laboratory did use a test method with a reproducibility that is significantly different from the reproducibility of the reference test method used in this report, it is strongly advised to recalculate the z-score, while using the reproducibility of the actual test method used, this in order to evaluate whether the reported test result is fit-for-use.

The z-scores were calculated according to:

```
z_{\text{(target)}} = \text{(test result - average of PT)} / \text{target standard deviation}
```

The z<sub>(target)</sub> scores are listed in the test result tables in appendix 1.

Absolute values for z<2 are very common and absolute values for z>3 are very rare. Therefore, the usual interpretation of z-scores is as follows:

```
|z| < 1 good
1 < |z| < 2 satisfactory
2 < |z| < 3 questionable
3 < |z| unsatisfactory
```

End of preview of this report, requests to obtain the full report can be sent to nl.iis@sgs.com



Address: Malledijk 18, P.O. Box 200, 3200 AE Spijkenisse, The Netherlands +31 (0)88 214 45 41

Telephone number: +31 (0)88 214 45 4 Email address: nl.iis@sgs.com Website: www.iisnl.com

Institute for Interlaboratory Studies is a full member of SGS Nederland B.V. and registered at the Chamber of Commerce under number: 24226722. Unless otherwise agreed, all orders are executed in accordance with the SGS general conditions.